

Teaching Critical Thinking by Asking “Could Lincoln Be Elected Today?”

Kathleen Hall Jamieson

With Northern victories in the Civil War proving elusive, on August 23, 1864, President Abraham Lincoln penned a memorandum that he asked his cabinet to endorse sight unseen. It read:

[I]t seems exceedingly probable that this Administration will not be re-elected. Then it will be my duty to so co-operate with the President elect [General George McClellan], as to save the Union between the election and the inauguration; as he will have secured his election on such ground that he can not possibly save it afterwards.¹

Two years earlier Lincoln had removed the man who was now his opponent from command of the Army of the Potomac. McClellan was an indecisive general who saw himself as America’s Napoleon.² A majority of those who served under him in the army voted against him in 1864.³

The Annenberg Public Policy Center at the University of Pennsylvania, known for its award-winning Constitution Day videos (found at AnnenbergClassroom.org) and its ad and debate monitoring site, FactCheck.org, has added a political literacy site titled FlackCheck.org that houses two pages specifically designed for use in the high school classroom. These web pages, “Could Lincoln Be Elected Today?” and “Patterns of Deception,” include quality videos specifically created to help classes recognize flaws in arguments in general and political ads in particular and to examine the criteria for evaluating candidates, past and present, for the presidency.⁴

Teaching Patterns of Deception

The patterns of deception found on the FlackCheck.org page by that name range from the causal fallacy “after

and such means of visual manipulation as visual vilification, seeing what’s not heard, and deceptive dramatization.

Class Exercise: Recognizing “Words Taken out of Context” and “Guilt by Association”

Because in his Gettysburg Address, Lincoln said, “we here highly resolve that these dead shall not have died in vain,” and “...that government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth,” it is accurate to report that he spoke the words “perish from the earth” and “died in vain.” But if his 1864 opponent, General George McClellan, had had the assistance of today’s wiliest campaign consultants, the public might have remembered something very different.

this, therefore, because of this” (post hoc ergo propter hoc) to conspiracy theorizing. Other categories include deceptive framing, applying a double standard, making a glass house attack,

National Standards Addressed

This lesson promotes one of the literacy skills presented in the *National Curriculum Standards for Social Studies*: Evaluate sources for validity and credibility and to detect bias, propaganda, and censorship.¹

It also addresses the following content standard for grades 9–12 of the *National Standards for Civics and Government*: Evaluate historical and contemporary political communication using such criteria as logical validity, factual accuracy, emotional appeal, distorted evidence, appeals to bias or prejudice.²

Notes:

1. See “Literacy Skills” in Appendix 1, “Essential Social Studies Skills and Strategies,” in National Council for the Social Studies, *National Curriculum Standards for Social Studies* (Silver Spring, Md.: NCSS, 2010), 163.
2. Center for Civic Education, *National Standards for Civics and Government* (Calabasas, Calif.: Center for Civic Education, 1994, 2003), 119.

In FlackCheck.org's McClellan ad titled "Honestly Abe," the announcer tells viewers that "At Gettysburg, Lincoln said that our nation would 'perish from the earth.'" On screen the words "In Lincoln's own hand" appear over Lincoln's handwritten text.⁵ The narrating voice adds, "he said that our soldiers had died in vain." We then hear Lincoln declaring, "in vain." As the camera closes in on Lincoln's face the words "*In vain. In vain*" are echoed. Our political message closes with a resonant voice repeating the tagline, "Lincoln: Wrong on the War, Wrong for the Union." In the final seconds, the sponsor's identity is revealed: "I am George B. McClellan, and I approve this message."

After students have compared the text of the Gettysburg Address to the ad, the class might ask, what are the devices the ad uses to distract us from the context in which the quoted words

were uttered? And why, even if they are unfamiliar with Lincoln's most famous speech, should viewers suspect that a commander-in-chief probably would not attack the legitimacy of a war he was prosecuting, suggest that the deaths of both union and confederate soldiers interred in the ground he was dedicating were pointless, and predict the demise of the nation? The lesson? When common sense tells you a leader is unlikely to have said what you heard him or her say in an ad, investigate the possibility that the words are out of context. Now turn to the "Patterns of Deception" page on FlackCheck.org to see instances in which the words of Governor Mitt Romney and President Barack Obama have been taken out of context in this year's presidential contest.⁶

After reviewing contemporary examples, can the class now detect the techniques associated with out of context statements? With a copy of Lin-

coln's First Inaugural accessible, ask whether an attack in the Lincoln piece, titled "Lincoln has failed," is faithful to that important document's meaning and (finding that it is not) ask how comparable its "out of context" moves are to those in the other reviewed ads.⁷

Follow the same teaching strategy to explore a second deceptive tactic, guilt by association, in the ad attack on the supposed confederate sympathies of Mary Todd Lincoln, titled "Who Stands Behind the Woman Who Stands Behind the Man in the White House?"⁸ This time instead of fidelity to texts, the task is ascertaining fidelity to facts. Using the resources at such sites as the Abraham Lincoln Presidential Library and Museum, determine whether three of Mrs. Lincoln's brothers fought for the confederacy, whether she housed a rebel's wife in the White House, and whether she was indeed a daughter of Dixie.⁹ And if these statements prove

Bring Constitution Day to your school with *Constitution Hall Pass*
THE PRESIDENCY | SEPTEMBER 10 - 23

Tune in to our FREE, fun and fascinating web-based video and live chat that's a hit at schools nationwide!

Find *Constitution Hall Pass* and more information to help celebrate the 225th anniversary of the Constitution online.

pwc
Presenting Sponsor

Freedom is Calling

NATIONAL CONSTITUTION CENTER

CONSTITUTIONCENTER.ORG/CONSTITUTIONDAY



accurate, ask whether they justify the ad's inference that the man behind Mrs. Lincoln is Jefferson Davis?

Return to the Patterns of Deception page to find a definition of guilt by association as “The attribution of guilt (without proof) to individuals because the people they associate with are guilty.” Then review the page’s examples from the 2012 presidential race.

Teaching ‘What Constitutes a Legitimate and Fair Attack?’

In addition to illustrating patterns of deception, the anti-Lincoln videos are designed to provoke class discussion about the criteria that we ought to employ in assessing the qualifications of a person seeking the presidency, the relationship between campaigning and governance, and the arguments for and against significant pieces of legislation signed into law by Abraham Lincoln during his first term.

Class Exercises and Questions for Discussion

Attacks on Legislation Lincoln Signed

Historians regard Lincoln’s support for the transcontinental railroad, the Morrill (land-grant college) Act, and the Homestead Act as singular accomplishments. Does the class agree? If so, how did each law contribute to the well being of the country? If not, what were the harmful

effects? How accurately did the debate at the time forecast the effects of each? How do the ads attacking these pieces of legislation play on fear of the unknown, fear of change, and stereotypes? Were any of the fears expressed in these attack ads justified? If not, what lessons can we draw?

“Repeal the Land-Grant College Act”¹⁰

“Lincoln the Lobbyist for Special Interests”¹¹

“Lincoln’s Homestead Act: A Redistribution of America’s Wealth”¹²

Attacks on Lincoln for the Cost and Casualties of the Civil War

The next set of videos invite a focus on questions such as: To what extent, if at all, should a president be blamed for the deaths and injuries occasioned by war? How does a country pay for the financial costs of war? Is it fair to indict the president for supporting a tax increase to support a war he champions? How fair are the inferences in the attack ads titled “Mr. Lincoln’s War on Men,” “Lincoln, a Tax and Spend Socialist,” “The Cost of Lincoln’s War,” and “Four More Years?”¹³

Attacks Based in Biography

Because the public has had the chance to see how he conducts himself in office and to learn his legislative priorities, voters generally consider what a president did before assuming office unhelpful in determining whether he deserves reelection.

Nonetheless the FlackCheck.org attack campaign has mined Lincoln’s distant past to resurrect statements made by or about his religious preferences (“President Lincoln’s War on Religion”) and his mental health (“Can We Trust a Melancholiac with a Second Term?”).¹⁴ A video filled with deceptive images but accurate words (“How Poor is He Really?”) questions Abe’s alleged wealth.¹⁵ A spot that morphs his image into that of Benedict Arnold challenges the wisdom of his opposition to the Mexican War (“Lincoln the Traitor”).¹⁶ As a prelude to asking “Whose Emancipation is he Going to Support Next?” another assault reminds voters that as a young lawyer, Lincoln defended an admitted adulteress, as well as a woman who smashed up a saloon, and a wife who allegedly poisoned her husband.¹⁷ And the McClellan campaign has actually located a person ready to argue that Honest Abe isn’t honest after all (“What are Lincoln’s Core Values?”).¹⁸

Possible discussion questions include: Had they been launched against him in 1860, would any of these attacks accurately forecast his conduct in office? Under what circumstances, if any, would a voter find these attacks helpful in distinguishing between candidates seeking the presidency? What form do these attacks take in today’s campaigns?

Attacks on Controversial Actions and Seeming Inconsistencies

Which behaviors matter in judging the

competence of a president and which don't? Would you worry if you knew that a president participated in séances in the White House ("What's Really Guiding Abe?") or that his wife bought fancy clothes in the middle of a war ("Mrs. Lincoln: Is This What We're Fighting For?")?¹⁹ Does Lincoln's suspension of habeas corpus justify a vote against him ("Unconstitutional Abe")?²⁰ What about his appointment of a general so fond of the bottle that the newspapers said, "The army is being ruined under the leadership of a drunkard whose confidential adviser was a lunatic" ("Lincoln the Traitor")?²¹

Raising Larger Questions about How We Should Assess a Candidate for President

The FlackCheck attack videos also invite the question, under what circumstances should a president deviate from positions announced in his election campaign? After viewing the ads titled "The Flip Flop President" and "Consistently Inconsistent," the class might ask, do these attacks identify actual changes in position?²² If so, how did Lincoln explain the change? Should these alterations justify a vote for or against Lincoln in 1864? Or shouldn't they weigh in a voter's decision at all?

How Lincoln Will Win our Hypothetical 1864 Campaign

To win our hypothetical 2012 version of the 1864 match-up, the McClellan team has attempted to cast the election as a referendum on Lincoln, not a choice between the two. The resulting relentless attack campaign against Lincoln invites the public to oust Lincoln without considering what kind of president would be elected as a result.

Where the FlackCheck.org McClellan campaign deploys deception and distraction to turn Lincoln's strengths into liabilities, the Lincoln response demonstrates that it is possible to win by campaigning factually, fairly, and honorably. In ads scripted by Bob Shrum, who created the ads for the Kerry presidential campaign in 2004, and Mark McKinnon, who did the

same for the reelection run of incumbent Republican George W. Bush, the Lincoln campaign quotes McClellan's own in-context words against him, catalogues his failures as a leader in careful detail and makes the case that Lincoln does indeed deserve four more years.

We hope that these Lincoln ads will remind students that the country has survived difficult times in the past and show that winning honorably is the better way. If those who seek our votes mislead, the best protection for the country is a citizenry armed with the tools to detect patterns of deception, sort significant issues from silly distractions, and apply sound criteria to determine which candidate is better qualified to lead. 📖

Notes

- Roy P. Basler (ed.), *The Collected Works of Abraham Lincoln* (New Brunswick, N.J.: Rutgers University Press, 1953), 514.
- Bruce Catton, *The Army of the Potomac: Mr. Lincoln's Army* (Garden City, N.Y.: Doubleday & Company, Inc., 1962), 53, 58.
- Margaret E. Wagner, *The Library of Congress Illustrated Timeline of the Civil War* (New York: Little, Brown & Company, 2011), 209.
- FlackCheck.org, "Could Lincoln Be Elected Today?" www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/; FlackCheck.org, "Patterns of Deception," www.flackcheck.org/patterns-of-deception/.
- FlackCheck.org, "Honestly Abe," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/honestly-abe-2/.
- FlackCheck.org, "Patterns of Deception," www.flackcheck.org/patterns-of-deception/. (See "Misplaced Referent: Mitt Romney vs. Barack Obama.") Taking words out of context has been a recurrent strategy of both Democratic and Republican presidential contenders in 2012. In November 2011, a Romney campaign ad created the impression that President Obama was talking about his own 2012 re-election bid when he said, "If we keep talking about the economy, we're going to lose." The statement actually came from an October 2008 campaign speech in which Democratic party nominee Barack Obama noted, "Sen. McCain's campaign actually said, and I quote, 'If we keep talking about the economy, we're going to lose.'" In a similar vein, a Democratic National Committee (DNC) ad excerpted the sentence "I'm not concerned about the very poor" from a longer Romney statement in which he promised to fix the safety net protecting the very poor if it needed repair. (See "DNC Tears Romney Quote on 'Very Poor' from Context" on the FlackCheck.org Patterns of Deception page.) Romney made the statement in an interview with CNN's Soledad O'Brien. In context, what he said in that interview was: "By the way, I'm in this race because I care about Americans. I'm not concerned about the very poor. We have a safety net there. If it needs repair, I'll fix it. I'm not concerned about the very rich. They're doing just fine. I'm concerned about the very heart of America, the 90, 95 percent of Americans who right now are struggling. I'll continue to take that message across the nation."

- FlackCheck.org, "Lincoln Has Failed," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/believe-in-the-union/.
- FlackCheck.org, "Who Stands Behind the Woman Who Stands Behind the Man in the White House?" www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/who-stands-behind-the-woman-behind-the-president/.
- Abraham Lincoln Presidential Library and Museum, www.alplm.org.
- FlackCheck.org, "Repeal the Land-Grant College Act," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/repeal-land-grant-college-act-oust-abe/.
- FlackCheck.org, "Lincoln the Lobbyist for Special Interests," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/lincoln-the-lobbyist-for-special-interests/.
- FlackCheck.org, "Lincoln's Homestead Act: A Redistribution of America's Wealth," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/lincolns-homestead-act-a-redistribution-of-americas-wealth/.
- FlackCheck.org, "Mr. Lincoln's War on Men," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/who-will-be-next-mr-lincoln/; FlackCheck.org, "Lincoln, a Tax and Spend Socialist," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/lincoln-a-tax-and-spend-socialist/; FlackCheck.org, "The Cost of Lincoln's War," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/the-cost-of-lincolns-war/; FlackCheck.org, "Four More Years?," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/four-more-years/.
- FlackCheck.org, "President Lincoln's War on Religion," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/president-lincolns-war-on-religion/; "Can We Trust a Melancholic with a Second Term?," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/can-we-trust-a-melancholic-with-a-second-term/.
- FlackCheck.org, "How Poor is He Really?," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/how-poor-is-he-really/.
- FlackCheck.org, "Lincoln the Traitor," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/lincoln-the-traitor/.
- FlackCheck.org, "Whose Emancipation is He Going to Support Next?," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/advocate-of-womens-rights/.
- FlackCheck.org, "What are Lincoln's Core Values?," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/what-are-lincolns-core-values/.
- FlackCheck.org, "What's Really Guiding Abe?," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/whats-really-guiding-abe/; "Mrs. Lincoln: Is This What We're Fighting For?," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/mrs-lincoln-is-this-what-were-fighting-for/.
- FlackCheck.org, "Unconstitutional Abe," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/unconstitutional-abe/.
- FlackCheck.org, "Lincoln the Traitor," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/lincoln-the-traitor/.
- FlackCheck.org, "The Flip Flop President," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/the-flip-flop-president/; FlackCheck.org, "Consistently Inconsistent," www.flackcheck.org/lincoln-campaign/consistently-inconsistent/.

KATHLEEN HALL JAMIESON is director of the Annenberg Public Policy Center at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.